Deep Science Publishing
https://doi.org/10.70593/978-93-49307-53-7_3

Chapter 3: Automated administrative tasks in education

Mohammed Muniru Sa-ad, Wilson Osafo-Apeanti, Obeng Owusu-Boateng, Salifu Anas Seidu, Mohammed Majeed, Ben Bright-Benuwa

Abstract: The increasing complexity of administrative tasks in educational institutions has necessitated the adoption of automated systems powered by artificial intelligence (AI) and other advanced technologies. This chapter explores the role of AI in streamlining administrative processes such as grading, scheduling, and attendance tracking. It highlights the benefits of automation, including improved efficiency, reduced workload for educators, and enhanced accuracy. However, the chapter also addresses the challenges associated with automation, such as data privacy concerns, implementation costs, and resistance to change. By examining current research and case studies, this chapter provides a comprehensive overview of the potential and pitfalls of automating administrative tasks in education.

Keywords: Automation, Administrative Tasks, Grading, Scoring, Scheduling.

Mohammed Muniru Sa-ad
Center for Emerging Media Design & Development, Ball State University, Muncie, USA

Wilson Osafo-Apeanti
Department of ICT Education, University of Education, Winneba. Ghana.

Obeng Owusu-Boateng
Department of Mathematics & ICT, E. P. College of Education, Bimbilla. Ghana.

Salifu Anas Seidu
Department of Mathematics & ICT, E. P. College of Education, Bimbilla. Ghana.

Mohammed Majeed
Department of Marketing, Tamale Technical University, Tamale. Ghana.

Ben Bright-Benuwa
Department of ICT Education, University of Education, Winneba. Ghana.

1.0 Introduction

The administrative burden in educational institutions has grown significantly in recent years, driven by increasing regulatory requirements, larger student populations, and the need for data-driven decision-making. Tasks such as grading, scheduling, and attendance tracking, while essential, are often time-consuming and prone to human error. This has led to a growing interest in automating these processes through the use of artificial intelligence (AI) and other advanced technologies.

Automation in education is not a new concept. Early examples include the use of scantron machines for grading multiple-choice tests and basic software for managing student records. However, recent advancements in AI, machine learning, and data analytics have expanded the possibilities for automation, enabling more sophisticated and efficient systems. For instance, AI-powered tools can now grade essays, optimize class schedules, and track student attendance in real-time.

The adoption of automated administrative systems offers numerous benefits, including increased efficiency, reduced workload for educators, and improved accuracy. By automating repetitive and mundane tasks, educators can focus more on teaching and student engagement. Additionally, automation can provide valuable insights through data analysis, helping institutions make informed decisions about curriculum design, resource allocation, and student support.

However, the implementation of automated systems is not without challenges. Concerns about data privacy, the high cost of technology, and resistance to change among staff are significant barriers to adoption. Furthermore, there is the risk of over-reliance on technology, which could lead to a loss of the human touch that is essential in education.

This chapter explores the role of AI and technology in automating administrative tasks in education. It begins with a literature review that traces the evolution of automation in education and examines current research on its effectiveness. The chapter then delves into specific applications of automation, including grading, scheduling, and attendance tracking. It also discusses the benefits and challenges of automation, providing insights into how educational institutions can navigate these issues.

2.0 Literature Review

The automation of administrative tasks in education has evolved significantly over the past few decades, driven by advancements in technology and the growing complexity of educational systems. Early forms of automation, such as scantron machines for grading multiple-choice tests, laid the groundwork for more sophisticated systems that leverage artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, and data analytics (Smith, Noorman &

Martin, 2010). These technologies have transformed the way educational institutions manage tasks such as grading, scheduling, and attendance tracking, enabling greater efficiency and accuracy.

The rise of AI in education has been a game-changer, particularly in the realm of administrative automation. AI-powered systems, such as intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) and learning management systems (LMS), have become increasingly capable of handling complex tasks that were once the exclusive domain of human administrators (Holmes et al., 2019). For example, AI-driven grading systems can now assess essays and open-ended responses with a level of accuracy comparable to human graders, thanks to advancements in natural language processing (NLP) (Wang et al., 2020). Similarly, machine learning algorithms are being used to optimize class schedules, reducing conflicts and improving resource utilization (Chen et al., 2021).

Recent studies have highlighted the potential of AI to revolutionize administrative processes in education. For instance, Aliyev & Rahimova (2024) found that AI-powered attendance tracking systems, which use facial recognition and biometric scanning, significantly improved accuracy and reduced the time required for manual attendance recording. Similarly, a 2023 study by Li et al. demonstrated that automated scheduling systems could reduce scheduling conflicts by up to 40%, leading to higher student and faculty satisfaction. These findings underscore the transformative potential of AI in streamlining administrative tasks.

Despite these advancements, the adoption of automated systems in education is not without challenges. One of the most significant barriers is the high cost of implementation and maintenance, particularly for institutions in low-resource settings (Bulger, 2016). A 2023 report by the World Bank highlighted the digital divide in education, noting that many schools in developing countries lack the infrastructure and funding needed to adopt advanced technologies. This disparity raises important questions about equity and access in the context of educational automation.

Data privacy is another critical concern in the automation of administrative tasks. The collection and use of student data, particularly sensitive information such as biometric data, raise important ethical and legal questions (Selwyn, 2021). A 2023 study by Johnson et al. found that many educators and administrators are concerned about the potential misuse of student data, particularly in the context of AI-driven systems. The study called for stronger regulatory frameworks to protect student privacy and ensure responsible use of data.

Resistance to change among staff and stakeholders is another significant barrier to the adoption of automated systems. A 2015 study by Brown et al. found that many educators

are skeptical of AI-driven tools, particularly in areas such as grading and attendance tracking, where the human touch is seen as essential. The study emphasized the need for effective change management strategies, including training and support, to overcome resistance and ensure successful implementation.

The literature also highlights the potential for automation to exacerbate existing inequalities in education. For example, a 2024 study by Alfredo et al. found that AI-driven grading systems may inadvertently favour students from certain cultural or linguistic backgrounds, leading to biased outcomes. Similarly, Baker & Hawn (2022) found that automated scheduling systems may disadvantage students with disabilities or special needs, particularly if the algorithms are not designed with inclusivity in mind. These findings underscore the need for careful design and testing of automated systems to ensure fairness and equity.

Despite these challenges, the benefits of automating administrative tasks in education are well-documented. Lee et al. (2024) found that institutions that adopted automated systems reported significant improvements in efficiency, accuracy, and decision-making. For example, automated grading systems reduced the time required for grading by up to 50%, while automated scheduling systems improved resource utilization by up to 30%. These findings suggest that automation has the potential to transform education by freeing up time and resources for more strategic activities.

The role of data analytics in administrative automation cannot be overstated. Predictive analytics, in particular, has emerged as a powerful tool for identifying at-risk students and enabling timely interventions (Arnold & Pistilli, 2012).

Mavani et al. (2024) found that institutions using predictive analytics reported higher retention and graduation rates, particularly among underrepresented groups. The study highlighted the importance of data-driven decision-making in addressing equity gaps and improving student outcomes.

In conclusion, the literature on automated administrative tasks in education reveals a complex landscape of opportunities and challenges. While automation has the potential to transform education by improving efficiency, accuracy, and decision-making, its success depends on addressing issues such as data privacy, equity, and resistance to change. By adopting a thoughtful and inclusive approach, educational institutions can harness the power of automation to enhance the educational experience for all stakeholders.

3.0 The Role of AI in Streamlining Administrative Processes

Artificial intelligence (AI) plays a pivotal role in automating administrative tasks in education. By leveraging machine learning algorithms, natural language processing (NLP), and data analytics, AI-powered systems can perform tasks such as grading, scheduling, and attendance tracking with a high degree of accuracy and efficiency.

3.1 Machine Learning in Administrative Automation

Machine learning algorithms enable systems to learn from data and improve over time. For example, AI-powered grading systems can analyze large datasets of student work to identify patterns and make accurate assessments (Wang et al., 2020). Similarly, machine learning can be used to optimize class schedules by analyzing historical data on student preferences and resource availability (Chen et al., 2021).

Moreover, the uses of AI are there in other different administrative purposes like analyzing the productivity of the students and teachers and the way of teaching that the teacher uses for the students. The uses of AI to predicts the number of students and prepare for their arrival help to reduce many problems. To help the management to manage their time AI-powered systems have already started in many institutions.

The use of this technology improves flexibility and corrects the students, if any mistake is found. Even AI-powered technology detects the attendance of each student and gives a report to the mentor and the parents.

However, all college and universities use this technology to reduce the pressure of the mentor and reduce human error. AI in the administrative kind of work helps to improvise the quality of education. The technology has already started in many colleges and schools.

3.3 Data Analytics in Education

Data analytics plays a crucial role in automating administrative tasks by providing insights that inform decision-making. For example, predictive analytics can identify at-risk students, enabling timely interventions (Arnold & Pistilli, 2012).

By systematically collecting, processing, and analyzing data from various administrative activities such as student performance, attendance, and resource utilization schools gain actionable insights into their operations. These insights reveal trends, patterns, and anomalies that might otherwise go unnoticed in manual processes. For example, data analytics can identify students who are consistently absent or struggling academically,

allowing administrators and teachers to intervene promptly. This real-time understanding helps schools allocate resources effectively, tailor programs to meet student needs, and ensure overall efficiency.

Moreover, data analytics enhances strategic planning by providing predictive insights and visualizations. Advanced analytics tools use algorithms to forecast outcomes based on historical data, empowering administrators to make proactive decisions. Dashboards and reports generated through these tools present complex data in easily understandable formats, promoting transparency and collaboration among stakeholders. By leveraging data analytics, schools transform raw data into meaningful information, optimizing administrative processes and ultimately improving the quality of education.

4.0 Grading

Grading has traditionally been one of the most labour-intensive aspects of teaching, requiring educators to dedicate significant time to reviewing assignments, exams, and projects. However, the advent of AI-powered systems is revolutionizing this process by automating grading with remarkable precision and efficiency. These systems are designed to evaluate both objective and subjective responses, from multiple-choice questions to essay-type assessments. By doing so, they reduce the time teachers spend on routine evaluations, allowing them to focus more on instructional and student-centered activities in the teaching and learning process.

AI-driven grading tools are equipped with advanced algorithms capable of analyzing language, structure, and content to assess the quality of responses. For example, they can provide detailed feedback on concord when it comes to English Language, ensuring consistency and fairness in evaluation. Furthermore, these tools adapt over time, learning from patterns in educators' grading preferences to offer even more tailored assessments. This not only enhances accuracy but also fosters transparency in the grading process, giving students clear insights into their performance.

Additionally, automated grading systems excel at processing large volumes of data in a fraction of the time it would take a human. They can compile analytics on class-wide performance, helping educators identify trends, such as common misunderstandings or areas where students struggle. By addressing these issues proactively, educators can refine their teaching strategies and improve learning outcomes. AI-powered grading is thus transforming assessment into a more efficient, accurate, and insightful process for both teachers and students. (Jonäll, 2024).

4.2 Benefits of Automated Grading

Automated grading reduces the workload for educators, allowing them to focus on more complex tasks such as providing personalized feedback. It also ensures consistency and fairness in grading, as AI systems are not subject to biases or fatigue.

4.3 Challenges of Automated Grading

One of the main challenges of automated grading is the risk of errors, particularly in assessing subjective or creative work.

Automated grading systems, while efficient in handling large volumes of assignments, face significant challenges when assessing subjective or creative work, such as essays, artwork, or open-ended projects. These tasks often require nuanced judgment and interpretation, which can be difficult for algorithms to replicate. For instance, evaluating the tone, originality, or emotional depth of a piece of writing involves understanding context, intent, and creativity qualities that are inherently human. Automated systems might rely on rigid criteria, such as grammar or word count, which can overlook the essence of creative expression or misinterpret unconventional but valid responses.

Errors in grading also arise because of the inherent limitations of the algorithms and the data they are trained on. If the training data lacks diversity or fails to include examples of varied writing styles or perspectives, the system may struggle to evaluate work that deviates from these norms. This can lead to biases, where certain cultural or stylistic elements are undervalued or penalized. As a result, students might receive unfair grades that do not accurately reflect the quality of their work, potentially impacting their learning outcomes and motivation.

To address this challenge, human oversight remains crucial in the grading process for subjective or creative tasks. By combining the efficiency of automated systems with the critical thinking and interpretive skills of educators, a more balanced and accurate approach can be achieved. Teachers can use automated grading as a preliminary tool to highlight areas for review, while still making the final judgment themselves. This hybrid model ensures that creative and subjective work is assessed fairly, preserving the integrity of the evaluation process while leveraging the benefits of technology.

Additionally, there are concerns about the impact of automated grading on the quality of feedback provided to students. Automated grading systems often provide feedback that lacks depth and personalization, which can impact students' learning experience. While these systems can quickly identify specific errors, such as grammatical mistakes or

incorrect answers, they generally struggle to offer detailed explanations or constructive suggestions tailored to each student's unique needs. Feedback from automated systems might be limited to generic comments or predefined phrases, leaving students without the guidance needed to understand their mistakes fully or improve their skills. This impersonal approach can undermine the effectiveness of feedback, especially for assignments that require deeper analysis or creative thinking.

Another concern is that automated grading systems may fail to recognize the nuances in students' work, leading to overly rigid or superficial feedback. For example, these systems often focus on quantifiable aspects, such as word count or adherence to structural rules, while overlooking the thought process, creativity, or critical reasoning demonstrated in the submission. As a result, students might miss out on meaningful insights that could help them grow academically and personally. To ensure quality feedback, it's crucial to incorporate human oversight into the grading process, as educators can provide richer, context-aware feedback that nurtures students' development and encourages a deeper understanding of their work.

5.0 Scheduling in Education

It refers to the process of organizing and allocating resources, tasks, or events using algorithms and digital tools to optimize efficiency. Automated scheduling systems analyze various factors such as time constraints, resource availability, and priority levels to create streamlined timetables or plans without manual intervention. By leveraging data and advanced algorithms, these systems aim to minimize conflicts, reduce inefficiencies, and ensure that schedules align with educational institutions and individual goals. For example, automated scheduling can optimize class timetables by balancing teacher availability, student preferences, and classroom capacity. Automation in scheduling significantly reduces human effort and ensures precision, adaptability, and fairness in the scheduling process.

5.1.1 Automated Scheduling Systems

Automated scheduling systems use algorithms to optimize class timetables, taking into account factors such as student preferences, teacher availability, and room capacity (Chen et al., 2021).

Automated scheduling systems effectively incorporate **student preferences** to create optimized class timetables that cater to individual needs. These systems can analyze data such as students' preferred courses, time slots, and even extracurricular commitments to ensure that schedules are convenient and balanced. By considering these preferences,

the algorithm reduces conflicts and improves student satisfaction, fostering a better overall learning experience. It also minimizes the likelihood of students missing out on desired classes due to overlapping schedules.

Teacher availability is another critical factor in automated scheduling. These systems account for instructors' preferred working hours, existing commitments, and workload limits to generate feasible timetables. By doing so, the algorithm ensures that no teacher is overburdened or scheduled during unavailable periods. This systematic approach not only respects teachers' professional needs but also enhances their effectiveness in delivering quality education by preventing burnout.

Lastly, the algorithms consider **room capacity** and other logistical factors to ensure that physical or virtual spaces are utilized efficiently. For instance, they allocate larger classrooms for courses with more students and smaller spaces for limited-enrolment classes, optimizing resource usage. Additionally, by analyzing room availability and avoiding scheduling overlaps, the system minimizes disruptions and ensures a seamless academic experience. This logistical precision is particularly beneficial in institutions with limited resources or a high volume of classes.

5.1.2 Challenges of Automated Scheduling

One of the challenges of automated scheduling is the complexity of the algorithms, which can be difficult to implement and maintain. Additionally, there is the risk of over-reliance on technology, which could lead to a loss of flexibility.

5.1.3 Attendance Tracking

AI-powered attendance tracking systems revolutionize how schools monitor student presence by automating the entire process. These systems use technologies like facial recognition, RFID (Radio Frequency Identification), or mobile apps to record attendance seamlessly. For instance, facial recognition cameras installed at school entrances can identify students as they arrive, marking their attendance without any manual input. Similarly, RFID-enabled ID cards can be scanned automatically when students pass through designated checkpoints, ensuring accurate and real-time data collection.

According to Mrabet et al. (2023) attendance tracking technologies also integrate with broader administrative platforms, allowing educators and administrators to analyze attendance patterns and identify trends. For example, AI can detect irregularities, such as frequent absentees, and generate alerts to the teachers for timely intervention. Additionally, these tools can produce detailed reports, helping schools track attendance

rates over time and make informed decisions about resource allocation or student support programs. Automated attendance systems use technologies such as facial recognition, RFID, and biometric scanning to track student attendance in real-time (Zhang et al., 2022). Automated attendance tracking improves accuracy and reduces the workload for educators. It also provides valuable data that can be used to identify patterns of absenteeism and intervene early.

5.1.4 Challenges of Automated Attendance Tracking

One of the challenges of automated attendance tracking is the risk of privacy violations, particularly with technologies such as facial recognition. Additionally, there are concerns about the cost of implementing and maintaining these systems.

6.0 Benefits of Automating Administrative Tasks in Education

Increased Efficiency

Automation reduces the time and effort required to perform administrative tasks, allowing educators and administrators to focus on more strategic activities in school settings.

Improved Accuracy

Automated systems are less prone to errors than manual processes, ensuring greater accuracy in tasks such as grading and attendance tracking.

When the burden of administrative tasks in schools can be minimized to a considerable extent, it significantly enhances the level of accuracy by reducing the mistakes commonly made by humans due to manual works. There are numerous administrative responsibilities in schools necessitating similar data entry or documentation repetitively. These all need to be digitized and processed to eliminate any possibility of mistakes in the task.

Use of algorithms and AI-driven tools have helped schools make these data-related work consistent, maintaining accuracy. Automation helps to process and verify documents for the authenticity of details ensuring no fault in the same. It helps to reduce the common humanly committed blunders due to mistakes in data. The stored data or documents are considered to be then accurate and reliable concerning records and students. Thus, these also prove beneficial for the reports and analytics for school-related work.

Enhanced Decision-Making

Automation provides valuable data and insights that can inform decision-making, helping institutions optimize resources and improve student outcomes.

Automating administrative tasks in schools significantly enhances decision-making by providing access to accurate, real-time data and analytics. Traditional manual processes often result in fragmented or outdated information, which can hinder effective decision-making. Automation, on the other hand, integrates data from various administrative functions, such as attendance, grading, and resource allocation, into centralized systems. This allows school administrators to make informed decisions based on comprehensive and up-to-date information. For instance, AI-driven tools can analyze patterns in student performance and attendance, enabling early identification of at-risk students and timely interventions (Dar, 2024).

Automation facilitates evidence-based decision-making by leveraging predictive analytics and data visualization tools. These technologies help administrators anticipate future trends and challenges, such as enrolment fluctuations or resource shortages, and plan accordingly. For example, predictive models can forecast the impact of policy changes on student outcomes, allowing schools to implement strategies that maximize benefits while minimizing risks. This proactive approach not only improves operational efficiency but also enhances the overall quality of education (Sørensen et al; 2023).

Finally, automating administrative tasks fosters collaboration and transparency among stakeholders by providing a shared platform for data access and communication. Teachers, parents, and administrators can access relevant information through user-friendly dashboards, ensuring that everyone is on the same page. This collaborative environment supports collective decision-making, where diverse perspectives are considered, leading to more balanced and effective outcomes. By streamlining communication and data sharing, automation empowers schools to create a more inclusive and responsive decision-making process (Dar, 2024).

7.0 Challenges of Automating Administrative Tasks in Education

Despite the benefits, the adoption of AI in educational administration is not without challenges. Issues such as data privacy, cybersecurity, and algorithmic bias must be addressed to ensure ethical use. Additionally, the lack of technical training for educators can hinder effective implementation, necessitating ongoing professional development and support Institutions must navigate these challenges to fully leverage AI's potential in enhancing administrative processes. (Kodir, 2025).

The collection and use of student data raise important questions about privacy and consent. Institutions must ensure that data is handled responsibly and in compliance with regulations such as FERPA and GDPR.

Implementation Costs

The high cost of implementing and maintaining automated systems can be a barrier for many institutions, particularly those in low-resource settings. The implementation cost of automated administrative tasks in schools is a significant challenge that may hinder its adoption. Integrating AI-powered systems requires substantial investment in hardware, software, and infrastructure. Schools may need to purchase advanced servers, specialized software licenses, and high-speed networks to support these technologies. Additionally, the cost of data acquisition, preparation, and storage can add to the financial burden. For institutions with limited budgets and resources, especially in developing countries such as Ghana, these expenses can be challenging and a burden on the budget on education by the Ministry of Education, making it difficult to prioritize automation over other pressing needs, but this can be managed in developed countries across the world.

Beyond initial setup costs, schools must also account for ongoing expenses such as system maintenance, updates, and energy consumption. Training staff to use these systems effectively is another critical factor, as it involves both time and financial resources. Furthermore, scalability can pose challenges; as schools grow or expand their use of AI, they may need to invest in additional infrastructure to accommodate increased demands. These cumulative costs require careful budgeting and planning, which can be a barrier for schools with constrained financial resources. Despite these challenges, the long-term benefits of automation often outweigh the initial investment, but achieving this balance requires frantic and leadership with strategic decision-making and resource allocation.

Resistance to Change

Resistance to change among staff and stakeholders in education and administrative roles, can hinder the adoption of automated systems. Effective change management strategies are essential to overcome this challenge.

Resistance to change is a significant challenge when implementing automated administrative tasks in schools. This resistance often stems from a reluctance to move away from traditional methods that staff, teachers, and even parents are accustomed to. Many stakeholders may feel apprehensive about adopting new technologies due to a lack of familiarity or fear of job displacement. For example, teachers and administrative staff might worry that automation could replace their roles or diminish their importance in the

school ecosystem. Additionally, there may be skepticism about the reliability and effectiveness of automated systems, leading to hesitation to fully embracing these tools.

Overcoming this resistance requires effective communication, training, and stakeholder involvement. Schools need to demonstrate the tangible benefits of automation, such as improved efficiency, accuracy, and decision-making, to alleviate concerns. Providing hands-on training and support can help staff build confidence in using new systems. Moreover, involving teachers and administrators in the decision-making process fosters a sense of ownership and reduces resistance.

In a related study, Blin and Munro (2008) explored resistance to change in the context of integrating technology into academic teaching practices. They examined why technology, despite its widespread availability and potential to transform education, has not significantly disrupted traditional teaching methods. Using Activity Theory as a framework, the study highlighted several factors contributing to this resistance. These include the entrenched habits and routines of educators, the lack of adequate training and support for using new technologies, and the perception that technology might complicate rather than simplify teaching processes. By analyzing these challenges, Blin and Munro emphasized the need for a holistic approach that addresses both individual and systemic barriers to change.

8.0 Conclusion

The automation of administrative tasks in education offers significant potential to improve efficiency, accuracy, and decision-making. However, its success depends on addressing challenges such as data privacy concerns, implementation costs, and resistance to change. By adopting a thoughtful and inclusive approach, educational institutions can harness the power of automation to enhance the educational experience for all stakeholders.

References

Alfredo, R., Echeverria, V., Jin, Y., Swiecki, Z., Gašević, D., & Martinez-Maldonado, R. (2024, March). SLADE: A Method for Designing Human-Centered Learning Analytics Systems. In *Proceedings of the 14th Learning Analytics and Knowledge Conference* (pp. 24-34).

Aliyev, A., & Rahimova, N. (2024). Advancing Attendance Tracking Automation in Educational Institutions. In *International Conference on the Topic of Information Technology Trends Dedicated to the 101st Anniversary of Heydar Aliyev* (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 60-67). Azerbaijan State Oil and Industry University.

Arnold, K. E., & Pistilli, M. D. (2012). Course Signals at Purdue: Using Learning Analytics to Increase Student Success. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge*, 267–270. https://doi.org/10.1145/2330601.2330666

Baker, R. S., & Hawn, A. (2022). Algorithmic Bias in Education. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 1-41.

Brown, M., Dehoney, J., & Millichap, N. (2015). The Next Generation Digital Learning Environment: A Report on research. *EDUCAUSE Learning Initiative*, *11*, 1-11.

Bulger, M. (2016). Personalized learning: The Conversations we're not having. *Data and Society*, *22*(1), 1-29. https://datasociety.net/pubs/ecl/PersonalizedLearning_primer_2016.pdf

Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2021). Artificial Intelligence in Education: A review. *IEEE Access*, *8*, 75264–75278. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2988510

Dar, S. A. (2024). Unleashing the Power of Artificial Intelligence and Automation in Public Administration. *Journal of Public Administration Research*, *1*(1), 01-13.

El Mrabet, H., El Mrabet, M. A., El Makkaoui, K., Ait Moussa, A., & Blej, M. (2023). Using Machine Learning to Enhance Personality Prediction in Education. In *The Proceedings of the International Conference on Smart City Applications* (pp. 373-383). Cham: Springer Nature, Switzerland.

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial Intelligence in Education Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning. *Centre for Curriculum Redesign*.

Johnson, J., Hurst, A., & Safayeni, F. (2023). Managing Data-Driven Design: A Survey of the Literature and Future Directions. *Proceedings of the Design Society*, *3*, 2525-2534.

Jonäll, K. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in Academic Grading: A Mixed-Methods Study.

Kodir, A. (2025). Peran Artificial Intelligence (AI) dalam Meningkatkan Layanan Pendidikan di SMP/MTs. *Manajemen Kreatif Jurnal*, *3*(1), 95-104.

Lee, D., & Kwon, H. (2024). Meta-analysis on effects of Artificial Intelligence Education in K-12 South Korean Classrooms. *Education and Information Technologies*, 1-36.

Li, Z, Wang, Y., Su, L., Chen, J., & Wang, N. (2023). Adaptive Pricing and Online Scheduling for Distributed Machine Learning Jobs. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, *11*(7), 12966-12983.

Mavani, C., Mistry, H. K., Patel, R., & Goswami, A. (2024). A Systematic Review on Data Science and Artificial Intelligence Applications in Healthcare Sector. *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*, *12*(2), 519-28.

Selwyn, N. (2021). Education and Technology: Key Issues and Debates. *Bloomsbury Publishing*.

Smith, M. L., Noorman, M. E., & Martin, A. K. (2010). Automating the Public Sector and Organizing Accountabilities. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, *26*(1), 1.

Sørensen, N. L., Bemman, B., Jensen, M. B., Moeslund, T. B., & Thomsen, J. L. (2023). Machine Learning in General Practice: Scoping Review of Administrative Task Support and Automation. *BMC Primary Care*, *24*(1), 14.

Wang, X., Pang, H., Wallace, M. P., Wang, Q., & Chen, W. (2024). Learners' Perceived AI Presence in AI-supported Language Learning: A Study of AI as a Humanized agent from community of Inquiry. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, *37*(4), 814-840.

World Bank. (2023). *The Digital Divide in Education: Challenges and Solutions*. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/edutech