

# A Cognitive Linguistic Perspective on English Compounds and Portmanteau Words

Hicham Lahlou Imran Ho-Abdullah

# A Cognitive Linguistic Perspective on English Compounds and Portmanteau Words

### **Hicham Lahlou**

Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia

### Imran Ho-Abdullah

Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), Malaysia



Published, marketed, and distributed by:

Deep Science Publishing, 2025 USA | UK | India | Turkey Reg. No. MH-33-0523625 www.deepscienceresearch.com editor@deepscienceresearch.com WhatsApp: +91 7977171947

ISBN: 978-93-7185-334-7

E-ISBN: 978-93-7185-771-0

https://doi.org/10.70593/978-93-7185-771-0

Copyright © Hicham Lahlou and Imran Ho-Abdullah, 2025.

Citation: Lahlou, H., & Abdullah, I. H. (2025). A Cognitive Linguistic Perspective on English Compounds and Portmanteau Words. Deep Science Publishing. <a href="https://doi.org/10.70593/978-93-7185-771-0">https://doi.org/10.70593/978-93-7185-771-0</a>

This book is published online under a fully open access program and is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0). This open access license allows third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format, provided that proper attribution is given to the author(s) and the published source. The publishers, authors, and editors are not responsible for errors or omissions, or for any consequences arising from the application of the information presented in this book, and make no warranty, express or implied, regarding the content of this publication. Although the publisher, authors, and editors have made every effort to ensure that the content is not misleading or false, they do not represent or warrant that the information-particularly regarding verification by third parties-has been verified. The publisher is neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. The authors and publishers have made every effort to contact all copyright holders of the material reproduced in this publication and apologise to anyone we may have been unable to reach. If any copyright material has not been acknowledged, please write to us so we can correct it in a future reprint.

### **Preface**

Past research on morphological devices focused more on the processes that follow regular morphological and grammatical patterns. Such a focus has resulted in the marginalisation of several creative, productive word-building processes like acronyms, clipped words, and portmanteau (or blend) words. These morphological devices were considered non-morphological and ungrammatical because they did not fit all the necessary and sufficient conditions under the binary feature methodology used by many theories, including generative linguistics. Notwithstanding its various invaluable contributions, this methodology has left several linguistic phenomena unexplained, considering them as exceptions.

The present book compares the concepts of compounding and blending. In the literature, while the former is considered a regular grammatical and morphological process, the latter is considered non-morphological and grammatically irregular. Thanks to the aforementioned limitations, the current book proposes an alternative theoretical framework that can explain all morphological processes that assist in expanding the English vocabulary. It is believed that the book has significant implications for categorising word formation processes in general, and compounds and blends in particular. We also hope that the book will also inspire more researchers from diverse domains, especially morphology, linguistic typology, and psycholinguistics, to reevaluate the other "irregular" morphological devices.

It is worth noting that the current book is informed by pioneering prototype theory studies, especially those of Rosch et al. [28,29,61], Cruse [23,24], Aitchison [27], and Lakoff [5,44]. Also, the source schemas proposed in this book were inspired by Adams's research [7], although the approach she used differs from that of this study. Finally, sincere gratitude is extended to the School of Humanities, Universiti Sains Malaysia.

Hicham Lahlou

## **Table of Contents**

Chapter 1: Introduction	1
Chapter 2: The Concepts of Compounds and Blends	5
1 Introduction	5
1.1 Defining and Classifying Compounds	5
1.2 Defining and Classifying Blends	9
1.3 Approaches Compounding and Blending	12
1.4 The Concepts of Prototypes and Categories	17
1.5 Basic-level Categories	20
Chapter 3: How the study worked? Categorising compounds and blends by prototypes and source schemas	24
1 Introduction	24
1.1 Categorising by Prototypes and Source Schemas	24
1.1 The Data	25
Chapter 4: Results and discussion	29
1 Introduction	29
1.1 The Relationship between Compounds and Blends	29
1.2 Cognitive Categorisation of Compounds and Blends	35
1.3 Source Schema Categorisation	39
Chapter 5: Conclusion	47
References	50
Appendices	53

### References

- [1] Hamawand Z. Morphology in English: Word Formation in Cognitive Grammar. A&C Black; 2011 Sep 8.
- [2] Szymanek B. The Latest Trends in English Word-Formation. In Handbook of Word-Formation 2005 (pp. 429-448). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- [3] Turner M, Fauconnier G. Conceptual Integration and Formal Expression. Metaphor and Symbolic Activity. 1995;10(3):183-204.
- [4] Taylor, J.R. Linguistic Categorisation: Prototypes in Linguistic Theory (3rd ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.
- [5] Lakoff G, Women, Fire, and Dangerous Things: What Categories Reveal about the Mind. Chicago: University of Chicago. 2008 [1987].
- [6] Fauconnier G. Mappings in Thought and Language. Cambridge University Press; 1997 Jun 13.
- [7] Adams V. An Introduction to Modern English Word-Formation. Routledge; 2016 Jul 1.
- [8] Langacker RW. Language and Its Structure: Some Fundamental Linguistic Concepts. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich; 1973.
- [9] Halpern J. Is English Segmentation Trivial. Technical report, CJK Dictionary Institute; 2000.
- [10] Bauer L. English Word-Formation. Cambridge University Press; 1983.
- [11] Gusmani R. Aspetti del Prestito Linguistico. Libr. Scientifica Ed.; 1973. Cited by: Grzega J. Some thoughts on a cognitive onomasiological approach to wordformation with special reference to English. Onomasiology Online. 2002; 3:55-81.
- [12] Grzega J. Some Thoughts on a Cognitive Onomasiological Approach to Wordformation with Special Reference to English. Onomasiology Online. 2002; 3:55-81.
- [13] Algeo J. The taxonomy of word making. Word. 1978 Aug 1;29(2):122-31.
- [14] Wentworth H., Flexner S.B. Dictionary of American Slang. New York: Crowell; 1960.
- [15] Barlow M. Usage, Blends and Grammar. In Usage-Based Models of Language. 2000:315-45.
- [16] Cannon G. Blends in English Word Formation. Linguistics. 1986; 24(4):725-753.
- [17] Lehrer A. Identifying and Interpreting Blends: An experimental approach. Cognitive Linguistics. 1996; 7(4): 359-390.
- [18] Pyles T, Algeo J. English: An Introduction to Language. Harcourt Brace & World. 1970 Jun.
- [19] Aronoff, M. Word Formation in Generative Grammar. The MIT Press; 1976.
- [20] Beard, R. Derivation. In The Handbook of Morphology. Oxford: Blackwell; 1998.
- [21] Downing P. On the Creation and Use of English Compound Nouns. Language. 1977 Dec 1:810-42.
- [22] Štekauer P. Fundamental Principles of an Onomasiological Theory of English Word-Formation. Onomasiology Online. 2001; 2(1):15-54.
- [23] Cruse, D. A. Cognitive Linguistics and Lexical Semantics. Leuvense Bijdragen. 1992; 81: 1-3.
- [24] Croft, W., Cruse, D.A. Cognitive Linguistics. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2004.
- [25] Geeraerts D. Words and other wonders: papers on lexical and semantic topics. Walter de Gruyter; 2006.
- [26] Smith, E.E., Medin, D.L. Categories and Concepts (Vol. 9). Harvard University Press; 2013 [1981].

- [27] Aitchison, J. Words in the Mind: An Introduction to the Mental Lexicon (4<sup>th</sup> ed.). John Wiley & Sons; 2012.
- [28] Rosch, E., Mervis, C. B., Gray, W. D., Johnson, D. M., Boyes-Braem, P. Basic Objects in Natural Categories. Cognitive Psychology. 1976; 8(3), 382-439.
- [29] Rosch, E. Principles of Categorisation. In Cognition and Categorisation. Routledge; 2024 [1978]
- [30] Geeraerts, D. Diachronic Prototype Semantics: A Digest. In Historical Semantics and Cognition. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter; 2013 [1999]
- [31] Berlin, B., Breedlove, D.E., Raven, P.H. Principles of Tzeltal Plant Classification: An Introduction to the Botanical Ethnography of a Mayan-Speaking People of Highland Chiapas. New York and London: Academic Press; 2013 [1974].
- [32] Hunn ES. Tzeltal Folk Zoology: The Classification of Discontinuities in Nature. Academic Press; 1977.
- [33] Tversky, B., Hemenway, K. Objects, Parts, and Categories. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General. 1984; 113(2): 169.
- [34] Ungerer, F., Schmid, H. J. An Introduction to Cognitive Linguistics (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Routledge; 2013.
- [35] Aronoff, M., Fudeman, K. What Is Morphology? (2nd ed.). A John Wiley & Sons; 2011.
- [36] Hatcher, A.G. An Introduction to the Analysis of English Noun Compounds. Word. 1960; 16: 356-373.
- [37] Libben, G. Semantic Transparency in The Processing of Compounds: Consequences for Representation, Processing, and Impairment. Brain and Language. 1998; 61(1): 30-44.
- [38] Frath, P., & Hamm, A. Why Is There No Ham in A Hamburger? A Study of Lexical Blends and Reanalysed Morphemisation. Recherches anglaises et nord-américaines. 2005; 38(1): 99-112.
- [39] Quinion, M.Through the Blender. World Wide Words: Investigating the English Language across the Globe; 1996. https://www.worldwidewords.org/blend.htm
- [40] Kelly, M. H. To "Brunch" Or to "Brench": Some Aspects of Blend Structure. Linguistics. 1998; 36(3): 579-590.
- [41] Soudek, L. I. The relation of Blending to English Word-Formation: Theory, Structure and Typological Attempts. In Proceedings of the Twelfth International Congress of Linguists, Vienna, August 28-September 2, 1977, 426-466. Innsbruck: Inst, für Sprachwissenschaft der Universität Innsbruck; 1978.
- [42] Klein, E. A Comprehensive Etymological Dictionary of the English Language: Dealing with the Origin of Words and Their Sense Development Thus Illustrating the History of Civilisation and Culture. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 1967.
- [43] Ridler, V. The Compact Edition of the Oxford English Dictionary. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1972.
- [44] Lakoff, G. and Johnson, M. Metaphors We Live by. The University of Chicago Press; 2008 [1980].
- [45] The Oxford English Dictionary. 2nd ed. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1989.
- [46] Shorter Oxford English Dictionary: on Historical Principles (5<sup>th</sup> ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2002.
- [47] Plag, I. Word-Formation in English (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Cambridge university press; 2018.

- [48] Huddleston, R., Pullum, G. K. The Cambridge Grammar of the English Language. Cambridge University Press; 2002.
- [49] Carroll, L. Through the Looking-Glass, and What Alice Found There. Macmillan and Co; 1872.
- [50] Penman, E. Jabberwocky: An Exploration of Nonsense and Meaning. The Journal of Nonsense Poetry. 2019; 25 (4): 10-12. https://scholarworks.harding.edu/english-facpub/66
- [51] Oxford English dictionary. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/OED/2526068009
- [52] Lahlou, H., Abdullah, I. H. A Cognitive Corpus-Based Study of Exocentric Compounds in English. Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies. 2022; 18(1): 1021-1032.
- [53] Lahlou, H., Abdullah, I. H. The fine line between compounds and portmanteau words in English: A prototypical analysis. Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies. 2021; 17(4), 1684-1694.
- [54] Lahlou, H., Ho-Abdullah, I. A Cognitive Approach to Compounds and Blends: Revising the linguistic approach to blends. Lap Lambert Academic Publishing; 2012.
- [55] Gries, S. Shouldn't It Be Breakfunch? A Quantitative Analysis of Blend Structure in English. Linguistics, 2004; 42(3): 639-667.
- [56] Kaunisto, M. Relations and proportions in the formation of blend words. Conference handbook, Fourth Conference of the International Quantitative Linguistics Association (Qualico), Prague, August 24–26. 2000. Cited by: Gries, S. Shouldn't It Be Breakfunch? A Quantitative Analysis of Blend Structure in English. Linguistics, 2004; 42(3): 639-667.
- [57] Kaunisto, M. Relations and proportions in the formation of blend words. Presentation handout, Fourth Conference of the International Quantitative Linguistics Association (Qualico), Prague, August 24–26. 2000. Cited by: Gries, S. Shouldn't It Be Breakfunch? A Quantitative Analysis of Blend Structure in English. Linguistics, 2004; 42(3): 639-667.
- [58] Lahlou, H. Concepts in Physics: A Comparative Cognitive Analysis of Arabic and French Terminologies. Kuala Lumpur: Institut Terjemahan & Buku Malaysia (ITBM); 2021.
- [59] Fabb, N. Compounding. In the Handbook of Morphology (pp. 66-83). Oxford: Blackwell; 1998.
- [60] Mott BL. Introductory Semantics and Pragmatics for Spanish Learners of English. Edicions Universitat Barcelona; 2009 Jan 30.
- [61] Rosch E. Cognitive representations of semantic categories. Journal of experimental psychology: General. 1975 Sep;104(3):192.